

(Side-bar discussion held off the record.)

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the jury has retired and counsel and the defendant and the Court are present at this point.

It's the Court's understanding that a certain stipulation is being proposed between Mr. Carpenter on behalf of the Commonwealth, and Mr. Murray on behalf of the defendant. At this point the defendant is reading that stipulation -- proposed stipulation.

Mr. Murray, has your client had an opportunity to review --

MR. MURRAY: Yes, Your Honor, he just finished reading that. Thank you.

THE COURT: At this point is he authorizing you to enter into this stipulation?

MR. MURRAY: Yes, Your Honor. Is that correct, Bruce?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Very well.

(The hearing concluded at 12:20 p.m. and reconvened at 1:30 p.m. in the presence of the attorney for the Commonwealth, the attorney for the defendant, and the defendant as follows.)

(Side-bar discussion held off the

record.)

THE COURT: Do you want to bring
the jury in?

(The jury was returned to the court
room at this time.)

THE COURT: Members of the jury,
you will recall we had a stipulation with regard to certain
facts yesterday. At this point there is another
stipulation, and, again, a stipulation is an agreement
between the attorney for the defendant and the attorney
for the Commonwealth that a certain fact is true and that
their stipulation is evidence of that fact and you should
regard the stipulation or agreed fact as being proven.
I'm going to read to you at this point a stipulation.

If Dr. Vincent Cordova testified in
this case, he would be qualified as an expert witness and
would testify as follows. He performed certain laboratory
tests on the vaginal swabs taken from [REDACTED]
and [REDACTED] immediately after they were sexually
assaulted. He found seminal residue, secretion from the
male genitalia, on both of the above-mentioned vaginal
swabs.

He was unable to determine the blood
type of the person who left the seminal residue.

He determined that both [REDACTED]

██████████ and ██████████ have Type O blood. He found a seminal residue deposit on the lower rear of ██████████'s robe. This deposit came from a person having Type A blood.

He found a seminal residue deposit on a portion of rug taken from ██████████'s apartment. This deposit came from a person having Type B blood. He was unable to determine whether the type was B-positive or B-negative.

The other items tested, including ██████████'s panties, did not reveal evidence of seminal residue.

A mixture of Type O and Type A would not result in a Type B laboratory result. A mixture of Type O and Type B would not result in a Type A laboratory result.

A laboratory blood type result on a deposit of seminal residue would still be valid even if the deposit was several days old prior to it being submitted to the laboratory.

The defendant, Bruce Donald Godschalk, has Type B-positive blood. Patrick LeBon has Type A blood.

Gentleman, did I accurately set forth your stipulation?

~~Karcewski - Direct~~

MR. MURRAY: Yes, sir.

MR. CARPENTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Do you want
to call your next witness?

MR. CARPENTER: Thank you.
Detective Karcewski?

- - -

DETECTIVE MICHAEL P. KARCEWSKI,
after having been first duly sworn, was examined and
testified as follows:

- - -

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- - -

BY MR. CARPENTER:

Q Sir, please keep your voice up and tell the jury
by whom you are employed.

A I'm employed by the Upper Merion Township Police
Department, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania.

Q In what capacity?

MR. MURRAY: Your Honor, I do know
Detective Karcewski and would stipulate to his qualifi-
cations.

MR. CARPENTER: Thank you.

BY MR. CARPENTER:

Q Sir, in what capacity?